EXHIBIT 1

1 **VERIFICATION** 2 STATE OF ARIZONA 3) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA 4 5 I, Shane P. Dyet, hereby state, under the penalty of perjury, that the following 6 information is true to my knowledge, information and belief: 7 1. I am an attorney for Defendants Simaran Express, Inc. and Jarmanjeet 8 Singh in the matter of Parker v. Simaran Express, Inc., et al., S8015CV202200243, currently pending in the Superior Court of the State of Arizona, County of Mohave, 10 before the Honorable Kenneth Gregory. 11 2. On June 1, 2022, I filed a Notice of Removal under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) 12 and 28 U.S.C. § 1446 seeking to remove Parker v. Simaran Express, Inc., et al., 13 S8015CV202200243, to the United States District Court for the District of Arizona. 14 3. In compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), 28 U.S.C. § 1446 and L. R. Civ. P. 15 3.6(b), I certify that the attached documents are true and accurate copies of pleadings and 16 other documents that were filed in the Superior Court of the State of Arizona, Mohave 17 County. 18 4. Also attached is a true and accurate copy of the court docket in *Parker v*. 19 Simaran Express, Inc., et al., S8015CV202200243. 20 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best 21 of my knowledge, information and belief. 22 Dated: June 1, 2022 23 24 25

26



Arizona Judicial Branch - Public Access

Public Access to Court Information - Case Search

Case Information

Case Number:	S-8015-CV-202200243		
Title:	ERICA PARKER PLAINTIFF vs SI	Category:	Civil
Court:	Mohave County Superior	Filing Date:	3/11/2022
Judge:		Disposition Date:	

SHANE DYET ATTORNEY - Y 2
JOANN A. HOSKINS ATTORNEY - Y 3
R KEVIN O'BRIEN ATTORNEY - Y 1
ERICA PARKER PLAINTIFF - P 1
SIMARAN EXPRESS INC DEFENDANT - D 1
JARMANJEET SINGH DEFENDANT - D 2
LILLIANA TUDELA DEFENDANT - D 3

Case Activity

Date	Description	Party
5/19/2022	ANSWER: ANSWER	D 3
5/19/2022	ARBITRATION: CERTIFICATE OF COMPULSORY ARBITRATION - IS SUBJ	D 3
5/19/2022	DEMAND: Demand for Jury Trial	D 3
5/11/2022	MOTION: WITHDRAW	D 2
5/9/2022	SERVICE: WAIVER OF SERVICE	P 1
4/14/2022	SERVICE: Proof of Service	P 1
3/22/2022	SERVICE: Proof of Service	P 1
3/14/2022	NOTICE: DISMISSAL RE: SERVICE - CIVIL	P 1
3/11/2022	COMPLAINT: Complaint	P 1
3/11/2022	ARBITRATION: CERTIFICATE OF COMPULSORY ARBITRATION - IS NOT	P 1
3/11/2022	SUMMONS: SUMMONS	P 1
3/11/2022	SUMMONS: SUMMONS	P 1
3/11/2022	SUMMONS: SUMMONS	P 1
3/11/2022	INDICATOR: DISCOVERY TIER 2	P1

Document Search

For access to criminal and civil court documents in the Superior Court visit the eAccess portal. For more information about the eAccess portal please visit: https://www.azcourts.gov/eaccess.

NOTES:

Internet Explorer 10 Users: Case details will not display properly unless you switch to Compatibility View. How?

The following case types are excluded from search results: sealed cases, cases involving un-served Orders of Protection, mental health and probate cases, victim and witness data. Juvenile incorrigible/delinquency case information also cannot be viewed on this website; however other types of cases in which juveniles are parties, such as traffic cases, may be displayed. Certain administrative functions carried out by superior court clerk's offices in each county are not included in this website, such as passport application processing and private process server registration. Charges stemming from local ordinance violations are not included.

Please be aware of the following limitations of the case records displayed:

- The information may not be a current, accurate, or complete record of the case.
- The information is subject to change at any time.
- The information is not the official record of the court.
- · Not all cases from a participating court may be included.
- The information should not be used as a substitute for a thorough background search of official public records,

The user is responsible for verifying information provided on this website against official court information filed at the court of record. Use of this website shall indicate agreement by the user that the Arizona judiciary, including its courts, divisions, officers, and employees, shall not be liable for any loss, consequence, or damage resulting directly or indirectly from the use of any of the information available through this website and that the Arizona judiciary does not provide any warranty, express or implied, that the information provided is accurate, current, correct, or complete.



Person/Attorney Filing: R Kevin O'Brien

Mailing Address: 23131 N. Lake Pleasant Parkway

City, State, Zip Code: Peoria, AZ 85383

Phone Number: (623)937-9799

E-Mail Address: kevin@ktolawfirm.com
[] Representing Self, Without an Attorney

(If Attorney) State Bar Number: 031212, Issuing State: AZ

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

Erica Parker Plaintiff(s),

Case No. S8015CV202200243

Simaran Express Inc, et al.

SUMMONS

Defendant(s).

To: Simaran Express Inc

WARNING: THIS AN OFFICIAL DOCUMENT FROM THE COURT THAT AFFECTS YOUR RIGHTS. READ THIS SUMMONS CAREFULLY, IF YOU DO NOT UNDERSTAND IT, CONTACT AN ATTORNEY FOR LEGAL ADVICE.

- 1. A lawsuit has been filed against you. A copy of the lawsuit and other court papers were served on you with this Summons.
- 2. If you do not want a judgment taken against you without your input, you must file an Answer in writing with the Court, and you must pay the required filing fee. To file your Answer, take or send the papers to <u>Clerk of the Superior Court</u>, 415 E. Spring St, <u>Kingman</u>, Arizona 86401 or electronically file your Answer through one of Arizona's <u>approved electronic filing systems at http://www.azcourts.gov/efilinginformation</u>.

 Mail a copy of the Answer to the other party, the Plaintiff, at the address listed on the top of this Summons.

Note: If you do not file electronically you will not have electronic access to the documents in this case.

3. If this Summons and the other court papers were served on you within the State of Arizona, your Answer must be filed within TWENTY (20) CALENDAR DAYS from the date of service, not counting the day of service. If this Summons and the other court papers were served on you outside the State of Arizona, your Answer must be filed within THIRTY (30) CALENDAR DAYS from the date of service, not counting the day of service.

Requests for reasonable accommodation for persons with disabilities must be made to the court by parties at least 3 working days in advance of a scheduled court proceeding.

GIVEN under my hand and the Seal of the Superior Court of the State of Arizona in and for the County of MOHAVE

SIGNED AND SEALED this date: March 11, 2022

Christina Spurlock
Clerk of Superior Court

By: GHOWELL
Deputy Clerk



d

Person/Attorney Filing: R Kevin O'Brien

Mailing Address: 23131 N. Lake Pleasant Parkway

City, State, Zip Code: Peoria, AZ 85383

Phone Number: (623)937-9799

E-Mail Address: kevin@ktolawfirm.com
[] Representing Self, Without an Attorney

(If Attorney) State Bar Number: 031212, Issuing State: AZ

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

Erica Parker Plaintiff(s),

Case No. S8015CV202200243

٧.

Simaran Express Inc, et al.

SUMMONS

Defendant(s).

To: Jarmanjeet Singh

WARNING: THIS AN OFFICIAL DOCUMENT FROM THE COURT THAT AFFECTS YOUR RIGHTS. READ THIS SUMMONS CAREFULLY. IF YOU DO NOT UNDERSTAND IT, CONTACT AN ATTORNEY FOR LEGAL ADVICE.

- 1. A lawsuit has been filed against you. A copy of the lawsuit and other court papers were served on you with this Summons.
- 2. If you do not want a judgment taken against you without your input, you must file an Answer in writing with the Court, and you must pay the required filing fee. To file your Answer, take or send the papers to <u>Clerk of the Superior Court</u>, 415 E. Spring St, Kingman, Arizona 86401 or electronically file your Answer through one of Arizona's approved electronic filing systems at http://www.azcourts.gov/efilinginformation.

 Mail a copy of the Answer to the other party, the Plaintiff, at the address listed on the top of this Summons.

Note: If you do not file electronically you will not have electronic access to the documents in this case.

3. If this Summons and the other court papers were served on you within the State of Arizona, your Answer must be filed within TWENTY (20) CALENDAR DAYS from the date of service, not counting the day of service. If this Summons and the other court papers were served on you outside the State of Arizona, your Answer must be filed within THIRTY (30) CALENDAR DAYS from the date of service, not counting the day of service.

ZurboCourtgov Form Set #661581

Requests for reasonable accommodation for persons with disabilities must be made to the court by parties at least 3 working days in advance of a scheduled court proceeding.

GIVEN under my hand and the Seal of the Superior Court of the State of Arizona in and for the County of MOHAVE

SIGNED AND SEALED this date: March 11, 2022

Christina Spurlock
Clerk of Superior Court

By: GHOWELL Deputy Clerk





Person/Attorney Filing: R Kevin O'Brien

Mailing Address: 23131 N. Lake Pleasant Parkway

City, State, Zip Code: Peoria, AZ 85383

Phone Number: (623)937-9799

E-Mail Address: kevin@ktolawfirm.com [] Representing Self, Without an Attorney

(If Attorney) State Bar Number: 031212, Issuing State: AZ

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

Erica Parker Plaintiff(s),

Case No. S8015CV202200243

Simaran Express Inc, et al.

Defendant(s).

SUMMONS

To: Lilliana Tudela

WARNING: THIS AN OFFICIAL DOCUMENT FROM THE COURT THAT AFFECTS YOUR RIGHTS. READ THIS SUMMONS CAREFULLY, IF YOU DO NOT UNDERSTAND IT, CONTACT AN ATTORNEY FOR LEGAL ADVICE.

- 1. A lawsuit has been filed against you. A copy of the lawsuit and other court papers were served on you with this Summons.
- 2. If you do not want a judgment taken against you without your input, you must file an Answer in writing with the Court, and you must pay the required filing fee. To file your Answer, take or send the papers to Clerk of the Superior Court, 415 E. Spring St, Kingman, Arizona 86401 or electronically file your Answer through one of Arizona's approved electronic filing systems at http://www.azcourts.gov/efilinginformation. Mail a copy of the Answer to the other party, the Plaintiff, at the address listed on the top of this Summons.

Note: If you do not file electronically you will not have electronic access to the documents in this case.

3. If this Summons and the other court papers were served on you within the State of Arizona, your Answer must be filed within TWENTY (20) CALENDAR DAYS from the date of service, not counting the day of service. If this Summons and the other court papers were served on you outside the State of Arizona, your Answer must be filed within THIRTY (30) CALENDAR DAYS from the date of service, not counting the day of service.

Requests for reasonable accommodation for persons with disabilities must be made to the court by parties at least 3 working days in advance of a scheduled court proceeding.

GIVEN under my hand and the Seal of the Superior Court of the State of Arizona in and for the County of MOHAVE

SIGNED AND SEALED this date: March 11, 2022

Christina Spurlock
Clerk of Superior Court

By: GHOWELL Deputy Clerk





Case 3:22-cv-08099-JJT Document 2 Filed 06/01/22 Page 16 of 56

FILED
Christina Spurlock
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT
03/11/2022 4:45PM
BY: GHOWELL
DEPUTY

Person/Attorney Filing: R Kevin O'Brien

Mailing Address: 23131 N. Lake Pleasant Parkway

City, State, Zip Code: Peoria, AZ 85383

Phone Number: (623)937-9799

E-Mail Address: kevin@ktolawfirm.com
[] Representing Self, Without an Attorney

(If Attorney) State Bar Number: 031212, Issuing State: AZ

Case No.: S8015CV202200243
HON, KENNETH GREGORY

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

Erica Parker Plaintiff(s),

Case No.

v.

Simaran Express Inc, et al. Defendant(s).

CERTIFICATE OF COMPULSORY ARBITRATION

I certify that I am aware of the dollar limits and any other limitations set forth by the Local Rules of Practice for the Mohave County Superior Court, and I further certify that this case IS NOT subject to compulsory arbitration, as provided by Rules 72 through 77 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this

By: R Kevin O'Brien /s/ Plaintiff/Attomey for Plaintiff



Case 3:22-cv-08099-JJT Document 2 Filed 06/01/22 Page 18 of 56

FILED
Christina Spurlock
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT
03/11/2022 4:45PM
BY: GHOWELL
DEPUTY

Case No.: S8015CV202200243

HON, KENNETH GREGORY

| KEIST THURSTON O'BRIEN, P.C.

R. Kevin O'Brien, II #031212

10150 W. Desert River Blvd., Ste. A-130

Glendale, Arizona 85305 623.937.9799 Phone

623.435.9057 Facsimile

kobrien@ktolawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

ERICA PARKER, Plaintiff.

11

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

VS

SIMARAN EXPRESS INC; JARMANJEET SINGH; LILLIANA TUDELA; John and Jane Does 1-10; ABC Entities 1-10, corporations, or other legal entities,

Defendants,

Case No.

COMPLAINT

(Tort/ Motor Vehicle)

Plaintiff, by and through undersigned counsel, for the Complaint against Defendants, allege as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff, Erica Parker, resides in Pulaski County, Arkansas, and all acts complained of herein occurred in Mohave County, Arizona.
- 2. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh is a resident of the County of Nassau, New York, who was driving a commercial motor vehicle under Separate Defendant Simaran Express Inc.'s DOT number and authority.
- 3. Simaran Express Inc. is a corporation whose address is in Sacramento, California and is the owner of the commercial motor vehicle driven by Separate Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh. At all times herein, Simaran Express Inc. had control over the commercial motor vehicle driven by Separate Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 4. Lilliana Tudela is a resident of the County of Miami-Dade, Florida
- 5. Plaintiff is not married.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh was, at all times material hereto, acting on behalf of the marital community and/or Simaran Express Inc.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant Lilliana Tudela was, at all times material hereto, acting on behalf of the marital community.
- 8. Defendant Jane Doe is a fictitious name for the spouse of Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh and Plaintiff will amend the Complaint if and when her true and correct name is ascertained, assuming she exists.
- 9. Defendant John Doe is a fictitious name for the spouse of Defendant Lilliana Tudela and Plaintiff will amend the Complaint if and when his true and correct name is ascertained, assuming he exists.
- 10. Defendants John and Jane Doe #3-#10 and Defendants Black and White Organizations are fictitious Defendants that in the course of litigation it is determined that other parties are responsible, these parties will be substituted in place of these fictitiously named defendants. These defendants can be persons, married couple, corporations, political subdivisions, partnerships, limited liability companies, or any other entity.
- 11. As to any fictitiously named defendant, after the names and relationships of the defendants are learned, Plaintiff will move to substitute the actual name and relationship into an amended complaint if needed.
- 12. The amount in controversy satisfies the jurisdictional requirements of this Court.

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 13. This Court has jurisdiction as the amount of damages sought are within the jurisdiction limits of this Court and the collision occurred in Mohave County. Venue is proper. This is a Tier 2 case as defined in the Rules of Civil Procedure.
 - 14. This Court has jurisdiction and venue over this action.

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 15. On or about October 22, 2021, Plaintiff Erica Parker was on a vacation retreat to Zion National Park and was a passenger in the passenger van that was involved in a motor vehicle collision. The passenger van that was driven by Defendant Lilliana Tudela was traveling North on I-15 MP 8 in the #2 Lane. The passenger van had come to a stop with other traffic due to construction. Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh failed to slow in time and rear-ended the van that Plaintiff was a passenger. The passenger van was then pushed into another vehicle and off onto the shoulder of the highway.
- 16. Defendant driver Jarmanjeet Singh was traveling North on I-15 MP 8 in a commercial vehicle with a trailer. Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh failed to slow and stop with traffic that was backed up from a construction zone and impacted the passenger van and another vehicle.

COUNT ONE

NEGLIGENCE

17. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the above as if fully set forth herein.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	18.	At the time of the above-described collision, Defendant Lilliana Tudela had a
duty o	of care	to operate the vehicle in a safe and prudent manner to avoid causing a motor
vehic	le collis	sion with any other vehicles.

- 19. At the time of the above-described collision, Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh and Defendant Simaran Express Inc. had a duty of care to operate the vehicle in a safe and prudent manner to avoid causing a motor vehicle collision with any other vehicles.
 - 20. The scope of this duty of care extended to Plaintiff.
- 21. This duty was breached when Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh operated the vehicle in a reckless, unsafe, and unlawful manner, causing the vehicle to collide into Plaintiff's vehicle.
- 22. This duty was breached when Defendant Lilliana Tudela operated the van in a reckless, and unsafe manner, causing the vehicle to collide into the vehicle in front of Plaintiff's causing a second collision.
- 23. Defendant Simaran Express Inc. breached this duty by failing to have standards, systems, policies and/or procedures in place to prevent its drivers from operating its commercial motor vehicles and equipment in a negligence, careless and reckless manner on interstate highways.
- 24. Defendant Simaran Express Inc. breached this duty by failing to train, supervise, monitor and control its employees, including Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh, regarding the rules, regulations, procedures and policies applicable to commercial drivers operating tractor-trailer trucks on the roads of the United States.

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 24. Defendant Simaran Express Inc. their direct corporate actions and inactions, adopted an unreasonable and imprudent policy, procedure practice and standard, in which they allowed and encouraged for financial benefits rather than discouraged, Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh, to drive and operate the commercial motor vehicle in the negligent, careless and reckless manner at the time of the collision.
- 25. Defendants' breach of this duty directly and proximately caused Plaintiff's damages, which include permanent and long-lasting injuries, other physical injuries, pain and suffering since the accident, past and future medical expenses, loss of earnings, and mental and emotional anguish, all in an amount to be determined at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENCE PER SE

- 26. Plaintiff realleges the above paragraphs if fully alleged here.
- 27. Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh violated A.R.S. §28-693A when Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh drove his vehicle in reckless regard for the safety of persons or property.
- 28. Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh violated A.R.S. §13-1201A when Defendant Jarmenjeet Singh committed endangerment by recklessly endangering another person with substantial risk of imminent death or physical injury.
- 29. Defendants' negligence per se directly and proximately caused Plaintiff's damages, which include permanent and long-lasting injuries, other physical injuries, pain and suffering since the accident, past and future medical expenses, loss of earnings, and mental and emotional anguish, all in an amount to be determined at trial.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	30.	As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of the
Defen	dants,	Plaintiff sustained bodily injuries and other damages and will continue to
requir	e treat	ment into the future.

- 31. As a further direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of the Defendants, Plaintiff was injured and damaged and further suffered pain, emotional upset, mental anguish, stress, and inconvenience all to her general damage in a sum according to proof.
- 32. As a further direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of the Defendants, Plaintiff has incurred medical expenses due to the injuries all in a sum according to proof.

COUNT THREE

AGENCY/RESPONDEANT SUPERIOR

- 33. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the above as if fully set forth herein.
- 34. Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh at all relevant times was employed by or working on behalf of Defendant Simaran Express Inc.
- 35. Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh was working within in the scope of his employment for Defendant Simaran Express Inc. when he collided with Plaintiff.
- 36. Defendant Simaran Express Inc. is liable to Plaintiff under a theory of agency or Respondeat Superior.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COUNT FOUR

NEGLIGENT ENTRUSTMENT

- 34. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the above paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.
- 35. At the time of the collision, Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh was driving a vehicle owned by Defendant Simaran Express Inc with its express authorization and knowledge.
- 36. At the time of the collision, Simaran Express Inc knew or should have known that Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh was an inexperienced, reckless, and negligent driver, or assumed the risk by allowing Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh to operate a vehicle owned by Simaran Express Inc
- 37. Simaran Express Inc knew or should have known that allowing a negligent, reckless and/or inexperienced driver increases the risk of harm to others on the roadway.
- 38. Simaran Express Inc.'s negligent entrustment of its vehicle to Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh is a direct and proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries and damages alleged herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- A. For general damages and losses incurred and to be incurred in the future (including physical pain and emotional suffering), in an amount reasonable and proper in the premises;
- В. For past and future medical and related expenses in an amount to be proven at trial;
 - C. For emotional distress:

	D.	For Plaint	iff's lost	wages and	for the	reasonable	value	of Plaintiff	s impaired
and di	minishe	ed earning	capacity	to the date	of trial	and in the fi	uture;		

- E. For Plaintiff's costs incurred herein, together with interest at the highest lawful rate on the total amount of all sums awarded from the date of judgment herein until paid; and
- F. For such additional relief as the Court may deem just and proper in the premises.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 11th Day of March 2022.

KEIST THURSTON O'BRIEN P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff



IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

DATE: MAY 25, 2022

S8015CV202200243

NOTICE RE: MOHAVE COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 2019-04

Plaintiff/Petitioner is hereby notified that service of the Summons and Complaint must be made upon all Defendants or adverse parties within ninety (90) days after the Complaint or Petition is filed or the case SHALL be dismissed without prejudice as to parties not timely served in accordance with Rule 4(i), Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure.

cc:

Plaintiff



Case 3:22-cv-08099-JJT Document 2 Filed 06/01/22 Page 29 of 56

FOR COURT USE ON PRICES ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address) KEIST THURSTON O'BRIEN CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT CARRIE CASNER 03/22/2022 11:39AM 23131 N LAKE PLEASANT PKWY BY: GHOWELL DEPUTY PEORIA, AZ 85383 TELEPHONE NO: (623) 937-8888 FAX NO (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): CARRIE@KTOLAWFIRM.COM ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: BRANCH NAME: IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE PLAINTIFF / PETITIONER: ERICA PARKER CASE NUMBER: DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: SIMARAN EXPRESS INC, ET AL 58015CV202200243 Ref. No. or File No.: **PROOF OF SERVICE** 6813243 (4119)

- At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action.
- I served copies of the:

SUMMON; CERTIFICATE OF COMPULSORY ARBITRATION; COMPLAINT

- 3. Party served: SIMARAN EXPRESS INC, ET AL
- Address where the party was served: 4119 RIO LINDA BOULEVARD SACRAMENTO, CA 95838
- 5.b. I served the party on: DATE: Mar 19, 2022 TIME: 9:04 am

I served the party by personal service. I left the items in item 2 with the defendant personally.

I left the documents listed in Item 2 with or in the presence of MARIA DOE (BABY SITTER, 60YRS, FEMALE, HISPANIC, 160LBS, 5'1, BLACK HAIR, BROWN EYES)

Physical Description:

6. The "Notice to the Person Served" (on the summons) was completed as follows: an individual defendant.



7.a. SHAWN F, SARDIA b. C/O United Legal 1128 E 6th St, Suite 2 Corona, CA 92879 c. 855-401-8834

d.Fee: \$58,00

e. California Registered Process Server Independent Contractor (not employee) Registration # 2008-05 County: SACRAMENTO

8. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California that the foregoing is true and correct. DATE: 03/22/2022

SHAWN F. SARDIA - FILE BY FAX

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California POS-010 [Rev, Jan 1, 2007]

Code of Civil Procedure, Sec 417.10

Ī

Christina Spurlock CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT 04/14/2022 2:44PM BY: JAMONEIM DEPUTY

VERIFIED RETURN OF SERVICE

State of Arizona **County of Mohave Superior Court**

Case Number: S8015CV202200243

Plaintiff: Erica Parker

VS.

Defendant:

Simaran Express Inc, et al.

For:

R. Kevin O'Brien Keist Thurston O'Brien

Received by First Choice Process, Inc. on the 15th day of March, 2022 at 3:57 pm to be served on Lilliana Tudela, 6475 Nw 170th Lane, Hialeah, FL 33015.

I, Moraima Ponce, do hereby affirm that on the 13th day of April, 2022 at 10:00 am, I:

INDIVIDUALLY/PERSONALLY served by delivering a true copy of the SUMMONS, COMPLAINT AND CERTIFICATE OF COMPULSORY ARBITRATION with the date and hour of service endorsed thereon by me, to: Lilliana Tudela at the address of: 6475 Nw 170th Lane, Hialeah, FL 33015, and informed said person of the contents therein, in compliance with state statutes.

Marital Status: Based upon inquiry of party served, they refused to state whether or not the Defendant is married.

Description of Person Served: Age: 40, Sex: F, Race/Skin Color: Hispanic, Height: 5'6", Weight: 210, Hair: Black, Glasses: Ν

Under penalty of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing Verified Return of Service and that the facts stated in it are true. I certify that I am over the age of 18, have no interest in the above action, and ain a Certified Process Server, in good standing, in the judicial circuit in which the process was served. No notary required pursuant to F.S. 92.525(2).

> Moraima Ponce CPS #2138

First Choice Process, Inc. 15757 Pines Blvd. Suite 157 Pembroke Pines, FL 33027

(954) 431-9208

Our Job Serial Number: MTO-2022000668





Person Filing:	expressinc	
S		R COURT OF ARIZONA RICOPA COUNTY
Erica Parker Name of Plaintiff(s)	<u> </u>	Case Number: <u>S80 5(V20220</u> 0243
Simuray Txprcss Name of Defendant(s)	nc.	ANSWER
For Defendant's Answer to F admits, denies and alleges a	Plaintiff's Comp as follows:	plaint, Defendant, Alba L. Mendez / Simmen Express Inc.
1. The allegations in paragra	aph ONE in the	Complaint, I:
☐ ADMIT as true,	Deny,	State I have insufficient information to determine whether true or false.
2. The allegations in paragra	aph TWO in th	e Complaint, I:
☐ ADMIT as true,	☐ Deny,	State I have insufficient information to determine whether true or false.
3. The allegations in paragra	aph THREE in	the Complaint, 1:
☐ ADMIT as true,	Deny,	State I have insufficient information to determine whether true or false.
4. The allegations in paragr	aph FOUR in ti	he Complaint, I:
☐ ADMIT as true,	Deny,	State I have insufficient information to determine whether true or false.
5. The allegations in paragr	aph FIVE in the	e Complaint, I:
☐ ADMIT as true,	Deny,	State I have insufficient information to determine whether true or false.



:ona in Maricopa County :VED Page 1 of 4

CVC31f 122917

Case 3:22-cv-08099-JJT Document 2 Filed 06/01/22 Page 34 of 56

Case No. SO SCV 202000 243

6. Th	e allegations in parag	raph SIX in the	Complaint, I:					
	☐ ADMIT as true,	Deny,	State I have insufficient information to determine whether true or false.					
7. Th	e allegations in parag	raph SEVEN ir	n the Complaint, I:					
	☐ ADMIT as true,	Deny,	State I have insufficient information to determine whether true or false.					
8. Th	e allegations in parag	raph EIGHT in	the Complaint, I:					
	☐ ADMIT as true,	☐ Deny,	State I have insufficient information to determine whether true or false.					
9. Th	e allegations in parag	raph NINE in ti	ne Complaint, I:					
	ADMIT as true,	☐ Deny,	State I have insufficient information to determine whether true or false.					
10. T	he allegations in para	graph TEN in t	he Complaint, I:					
	ADMIT as true,	Deny,	State I have insufficient information to determine whether true or false.					
(If you	need more space, ad	d an attachmer	nt labeled "Civil Answer," and continue consecutive numbering.)					
Defe	ndant's GENERAL has not specifically		endant denies anything stated in the Complaint that Defendant fied, or denied.					
		DEF	ENSES and DENIALS					
Α.	Defendant allege barred by reason		aims for relief stated in the Complaint are, or may be, ny that apply):					
	☐ Lack of pers	onal jurisdiction	n.					
	☐ Lack of subj	ect matter juris	diction.					
	☐ Insufficient s	ervice of proce	ess					
	Failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.							
	☐ Accord and	satisfaction.						
	☐ Arbitration a	nd award.						
	☐ Assumption	of risk.						
			Page 2 of 4					

Page 2 of 4

☐ Contributory negligence	
☐ Duress	
☐ Estoppel	
Failure of consideration	
☐ Fraud	
Illegality	
☐ Laches	
☐ License	
☐ Payment	
Release	
☐ Res judicata	
☐ Statute of Frauds	
☐ Statute of Limitations	
☐ Waiver	
Instruct information to follow up last year, I om shill be instructed partition. It was all a constituting an avoidance or affirmative defines set forth in Rule 8(d), Arizona R applicable. company and I can before explain the entre at 916, 208 1478. At this point I can't at REQUESTS to WHEREFORE, having fully defended, Defendant re	Legendan Afternum of the and provide them with I was told that Defenden couldn't get of hold the owner of the company passed away to suicide I had no directed hive Themanist this had establish this a qualified driver. The hosband had establish this his Answer at a later time to assert any matter ense including, without limitation, those affirmative ules of Civil Procedure, as discovery shows to be situation. Out can plake contact me force and there is a contact me force and there is a contact me myself. The could be contact the could be a contact me force and the plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed, that
Plaintiff take nothing, and that Defendant be including such other and further relief as the	e awarded the costs and expenses incurred herein, Court may deem just and proper.
04/05/2022 Date	Signature of Befendant/Defendant's Attorney

Page 3 of 4

Case No._____

Case No.	
----------	--

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE:

The following page must be completed and attached to the LAST page of your Answer:

Æ	I filed the O		the Answer	with the	Clerk of	f the Sup	erior Court in	
			Month		Date	Yea	ır	
X	T mailed/de Judge (or 0	elivered a Conmissioner):	OPY of the				Officer assigned	I to my case, on
				(Judic	ial Office	r assigned	to your case)	
•	Month	Date	Year					
	A							
	L mailed/d	alivarad a C	200V -4 4L		مملم اسم	 / \	4- 4 DI-:-4:#	/ DI-:-4:ff)-
		elivered a C Plaintiff is rej					to the Plaintiff	(or Plaintin's
	704.10, 11	08 2	00	y am angi	11037 01			
	Month	Date	Year					
-	tri	ca Par	Ker/	Keis	+ The	rskn	O'Bnen P	? C.
	Name of Plain	tiff / Plaintiff's Atte	scrt R	iver B	oled.	Ste	A-130	
-	Address						***************************************	
	Glenda		tre 85	<u> 305</u>	·	·		
	City, State, Zip) [/]						
	(Yo	u must mail	a copy of a	II docum	ents to	the Pla	intiff or his/her l	awyer)
		_		-	-	-	w, that the inforn	
	on these	pages is true	and corre	ct to the	best of	t my kno	wledge and beli	et.
	l further	state that I h	nave filed/m	ailed the	attach	ned docu	ıment(s) as shov	wn above. I
		and that if I d	lo not file/m	nail the a	ttache	d docum	ent(s) as shown	
/	<u> </u>	Judge	in my case	will not	read th	e attach	ed document.	
	1 1/21)	mandr.						

1	KEIST THURSTON O'BRIEN, P.C.	
2	KEIST THURSTON O'BRIEN, P.C. R. Kevin O'Brien, II #031212 10150 W. Desert River Blvd., Ste. A-130 Glendale, Arizona 85305 623.937.9799 Phone	
3	623.937.9799 Phone	
4	623,435,9057 Facsimile <u>kobrien@ktolawfirm.com</u> Attorneys for Plaintiff	
5		CHILIP ON ADMICANA AND AND TOO
6	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	
7	THE COUNTY	OF MOHAVE
8	ERICA PARKER,	Case NoS8015CV2022-00243
9	Plaintiff,	
10	VS.	WATER AND ACCEPTANCE
11	SIMARAN EXPRESS INC; JARMANJEET SINGH; LILLIANA TUDELA; John and Jane Does 1-10; ABC Entities 1-10, corporations, or other	WAIVER AND ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE
12	ABC Entities 1-10, corporations, or other	
13	legal entities, Defendants,	
14		
15		J
16	1. I, Shane Dyet, am the Attorn	ey for Defendant Jarmanjeet Singh named in the
17		·
18	above-captioned matter. I hereby accept ser	vice of process as having the same legal effect as
19	though I was personally served in the State of	of Arizona.
20	2. I acknowledge receipt of at	nd accept service of the following documents:
21	Complaint, Summons, Certificate of Compu	lsory Arbitration.
22	3. I hereby waive any possible	defense which may be raised pursuant to the
23		, -
24	Soldiers & Sailors Act, 50 U.S.C.A. Sec. 52	1.
25	4. I am executing this document	voluntarily and with full knowledge.
26		
27		
28		
1	II	

Case 3:22-cv-08099-JJT Document 2 Filed 06/01/22 Page 39 of 56

1	DATED this law of May, 2022.
2	
3	70.
4	Shane Dyet
5	·
6	
7	
8	STATE OF ARIZONA)
9) ss.
10	County of Maricopa)
11	The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this Loth day of
12	, 2022, by Shane Dyet.
13	10.
14 15	Notary Public (
16	
17	My Commission Expires:
18	DENISE ZBOROWER Notary Public - Arizona
19	Maricopa County Commission # 567255 My Comm. Expires Jul 20, 2023
20	My Comin, Expires 301 20, 202
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Page 2 of 2



Case 3:22-cv-08099-JJT	Document 2	Filed 06/01/22	Page 41 of 56
Case 3.22-67-00033-331	DUCUINEIIL 2	LIICU OO/OT/22	raut 41 UI 30

FILED
Christina Spurlock
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT
05/11/2022 10:16AM
BY: LBENSHOOF
DEPUTY

Shane P. Dyet, Bar No. 024627
 O'CONNOR & DYET, P.C. 7955 South Priest Drive
 Tempe, Arizona 85284
 shane.dyet@occlaw.com

 602-241-7000

Attorney for Defendants Simaran Express, Inc. and Jarmanjeet Singh

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

ERICA PARKER, Case No. S8015CV202200243

Plaintiff,

VS.

SIMARAN EXPRESS, INC.; JARMANJEET SINGH; LILLIANA TUDELA; John and Jane Does 1-10; ABCX Entities 1-10, corporations, or other entities,

Defendants.

MOTION TO WITHDRAW ANSWER FILED PRO PER BY DEFENDANT SIMARAN EXPRESS, INC.

(Assigned to the Honorable Kenneth Gregory)

Defendant Simaran Express, Inc. ("Simaran Express"), through undersigned counsel, hereby requests the Answer filed Pro Per by Ms. Alba Mendez on behalf of Simaran Express, be withdrawn.

Ms. Mendez, as owner of Simaran Express, filed the Answer on April 8, 2022, not knowing the insurance carrier for Simaran Express would provide defense counsel. Further, Ms. Mendez is not a lawyer, and therefore is not permitted to file a Pro Per Answer on behalf of the corporation. For these reasons, and now that undersigned has been retained as defense counsel for Simaran Express and Jarmanjeet Singh, Simaran Express respectfully requests the Answer filed on April 8, 2022 be withdrawn.

Once this Motion is granted, undersigned counsel will then file an Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint on behalf of both Simaran Express and Jarmanjeet Singh. Finally, Plaintiff's counsel does not oppose this Motion.

Case 3:22-cv-08099-JJT Document 2 Filed 06/01/22 Page 42 of 56

1	IDATED: May 11, 2022	
2	DATED: May 11, 2022.	OCONINOD O DVET D.C.
3		O'CONNOR & DYET, P.C.
4		n den no
5 6		By <u>/s/ Shane P. Dyet</u> Shane P. Dyet Attorney for Defendants Simaran
7	E-FILED with the Court and	Express, Inc. and Jarmanjeet Singh
8	copy emailed on May 11, 2022	
9	to:	
10	R. Kevin O'Brien Keist Thurston O'Brien, PC	
11	10150 W. Desert River Blvd., Suite A-130	
12	Glendale, AZ 85305 <u>kevin@ktolawfirm.com</u>	
13	Attorney for Plaintiff Erica Parker	
14	Justin Zachary Denton & Zachary DLLC	
15	Denton & Zachary, PLLC 2100 Riverdale Road, Suite 200A	
16	Little Rock, AR 72002 justin@dentonandzachary.com	
17	Attorney for Plaintiff Erica Parker	
18	By/s/ D. Zborower	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	à	
28		
		າ



Case 3:22-cv-08099-JJT Document 2 Filed 06/01/22 Page 44 of 56

FILED
Christina Spurlock
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT
05/19/2022 3:20PM
BY: GHOWELL
DEPUTY

1	JoAnn A. Hoskins- State Bar #035112	
2	Law Offices of Christine G. Moore 2495 Village View Drive, Suite 210	
l	Henderson, NV 89074	
3	Direct Line: (702) 854-4255	
4	Main Line: (702) 854-4200	
5	Facsimile: (866) 842-6297	
ا '	Email: JOANN_HOSKINS@progressive.com	m
6		
7	Attorney for Defendant	
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT O	OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
9	IN AND FOR THE CO	OUNTY OF MOHAVE
10	III OK III CO	ONITO MONAVE
- 1	EDICA DADVED	0 N 0 0015 0V 000000
11	ERICA PARKER, Plaintiff,	Case No. S-8015-CV-202200243
12	riamum,	
13	v.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
14	SIMARAN EXPRESS INC;	
15	JARMANJEET SINGH; LILIANA	
	TUDELA; John and Jane Does 1-10; ABC	
16	Entities 1-10, corporations, or other legal	
17	entities,	
18	Defendants	
	Defendants,	
19	Defendant, LILIANA TUDELA, by ar	nd through undersigned counsel, and pursuant
20	,,,,,	
21	to Rule 38, Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure,	hereby requests trial by jury in the event this
22	matter is not set for compulsory arbitration, o	er an annual is talean from arhitection
	inacter is not set for comparisory aroutation, o	in an appear is taken from arottration.
23		
24	DATED this 16th day of May, 2022.	
25		
26		By:
27		~,·
		JoAnn A. Hoskins
28		Attorney for Defendant

ĺ A copy has been or will be e-served on this day of April 2022, to: Honorable Court Administration Arbitration Desk R. kevin O'Brien, Esq. KEIST THURSTON O'BRIEN PC 10150 W. Desert River Blvd Suite A-130 Glendale, AZ 85305 (623) 937-9799/(623) 435-9057 (F)



Case 3:22-cv-08099-JJT Document 2 Filed 06/01/22 Page 47 of 56 Christina Spurlock CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT 05/19/2022 3:20PM BY: GHOWELL DEPUTY JoAnn A. Hoskins- State Bar #035112 1 Law Offices of Christine G. Moore 2 2495 Village View Drive, Suite 210 Henderson, NV 89074 3 Direct Line: (702) 854-4255 Main Line: (702) 854-4200 4 Facsimile: (866) 842-6297 5 Email: JOANN HOSKINS@progressive.com 6 Attorney for Defendant 7 8 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 9 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE 10 11 ERICA PARKER, Case No. S-8015-CV-202200243 12 Plaintiff. 13 CERTIFICATE OF AGREEMENT ٧. 14 **RE: COMPULSORY ARBITRATION** SIMARAN EXPRESS INC; 15 JARMANJEET SINGH; LILIANA 16 TUDELA; John and Jane Does 1-10; ABC Entities 1-10, corporations, or other legal 17 entities. 18 Defendants, 19 20 CERTIFICATE OF AGREEMENT RE: COMPULSORY ARBITRATION 21 /// 22

24 | /// 25 | /// 26 | ///

111

23

28

l	
	Pursuant to Rule 72, ARCP, and Rule 3.10(c), Maricopa County Local Rules of
	Civil Procedure, Defendant, certify that they agree that the amount in controversy does not
	exceed the limits set by the local rule, and therefore, this case is subject to Compulsory
	Arbitration.
	DATED this 16th day of May, 2022.
	By: JoAnn A. Hoskins Attorney for Defendant
	A copy has been or will be e-served on this day of May, 2022 to:
	Honorable: * Copy delivered via efile system
	Court Administrator
	*Arbitration Department - Superior Court
	R. kevin O'Brien, Esq. KEIST THURSTON O'BRIEN PC 10150 W. Desert River Blvd Suite A-130 Glendale, AZ 85305 (623) 937-9799/(623) 435-9057 (F)
	By:



Case 3:22-cv-08099-JJT Document 2 Filed 06/01/22 Page 50 of 56

FILED
Cluistina Spurlock
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT
05/19/2022 3:20PM
BY: GHOWELL
DEPUTY

JoAnn A. Hoskins- State Bar #035112
Law Offices of Christine G. Moore
2495 Village View Drive, Suite 210
Henderson, NV 89074
Direct Line: (702) 854-4255
Main Line: (702) 854-4200

Email: JOANN HOSKINS@progressive.com

Attorney for Defendant

Facsimile: (866) 842-6297

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE

ERICA PARKER, Plaintiff,

Case No. S-8015-CV-202200243

ANSWER ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT

LILIANA TUDELA

13 || v.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

SIMARAN EXPRESS INC;

JARMANJEET SINGH; LILIANA

TUDELA; John and Jane Does 1-10; ABC

Entities 1-10, corporations, or other legal

17 | entities,

Defendants,

Defendants by and through undersigned counsel, for their answer to Plaintiff's Complaint hereby admit, deny and allege as follows:

1. Answering Paragraphs 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 30, 31 and 32 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant states that she is without sufficient knowledge or information necessary to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, denies the same.

28 1//

- 2. Answering Paragraph 29 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant states the allegations call for a legal conclusion and contained therein and states that she is without sufficient knowledge or information necessary to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, denies the same.
- 3. Answering Paragraphs 17, 26 and 33 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates as though fully set forth herein, all of his answers to the preceding paragraphs.
- 4. Answering Paragraphs 7 and 22 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 5. Answering Paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 27, 28, 34, 35 and 36 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant admits each and every allegation contained therein.
- 6. Answering Paragraph 24 Page 6 Line 1 of Plaintiff's Complaint, this answering Defendant admits each and every allegation contained therein.
- 7. Answering Paragraphs 34 of Plaintiff's Complaint Section Count Four Negligent Entrustment Page 7, this answering Defendant repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates as though fully set forth herein, all of his answers to the preceding paragraphs.
- 8. Answering Paragraphs 35, 36 and 37 of Plaintiff's Complaint Section Count Four Negligent Entrustment Page 7, this answering Defendant admits each and every allegation contained therein.

- 9. Answering Paragraphs 38 of Plaintiff's Complaint Section Count Four Negligent Entrustment Page 7, this answering Defendant states that she is without sufficient knowledge or information necessary to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, denies the same.
- 10. Additional facts may be revealed by future discovery which support affirmative defenses presently available but unknown by Defendants. Accordingly, Defendants hereby allege the following affirmative defenses:

Accord and Satisfaction, Arbitration and Award, Assumption of Risk, Contributory Negligence, Comparative Fault, Equitable Estoppel, Failure to State a Claim, Fault of Another, Laches, Release, Statute of Limitations, Lack of Jurisdiction of Subject Matter, Lack of Jurisdiction over the person or persons, Improper Venue, Insufficiency of Process, Insufficiency of Service of Process, Multiplicity of Suits, Pendency of Other Action, Failure to Mitigate Damages.

- 11. Defendants have had insufficient opportunity to conduct discovery necessary to determine which, if any, of the defenses set forth in Rule 8(c), Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, may apply; so rather than waive those defenses, Defendants assert each and every defense stated in Rule 8(c).
- 12. Defendants deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to the recovery of attorney's fees.
- 13. Defendants reserve the right to contest Plaintiffs' discovery tier designation.
- 14. Defendants deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to the recovery of pre-judgment interest.
- 15. Defendants hereby deny any and all allegations not specifically admitted above.

1	WHEREFORE, having fully answered Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants request
2	that Plaintiffs' Complaint be dismissed, and that Plaintiffs take nothing thereby, that
3 4	Defendants be awarded costs incurred herein, and for such other and future relief as the
5	Court deems just.
6	DATED this 16 th day of May 2022.
8	
9	By: JoAnn A. Hoskins-State Bar #035112
10	Attorney for Defendant
11	
13	A copy has been or will be e-served on this day of May 2022, to:
14	R. kevin O'Brien, Esq.
15	KEIST THURSTON O'BRIEN PC 10150 W. Desert River Blvd Suite A-130
16 17	Glendale, AZ 85305 (623) 937-9799/(623) 435-9057 (F)
18	
19	By:
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	

EXHIBIT 2

1	Shane P. Dyet, Bar No. 024627		
	Robert R. Byrne, Bar No. 009902		
2	O'CONNOR & DYET, P.C.		
3	7955 South Priest Drive		
4	Tempe, Arizona 85284 (602) 241-7000		
5	shane.dyet@occlaw.com		
	robert.byrne@occlaw.com		
6	Attorneys for Defendants Simaran		
7	Express, Inc. and Jarmanjeet Singh		
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT O	F THE STATE OF ARIZONA	
9	IN AND FOR THE CO	UNTY OF MOHAVE	
10	ERICA PARKER,	Case No. S8015CV202200243	
11	DI : .:cc		
12	Plaintiff,		
13	VS.	NOTICE OF FILING NOTICE OF REMOVAL	
14	SIMARAN EXPRESS, INC.; JARMANJEET		
15	SINGH; LILLIANA TUDELA; John and Jane		
	Does 1-10; ABCX Entities 1-10, corporations, or other entities,	(Assigned to the	
16	,	Honorable Kenneth Gregory)	
17	Defendants.		
18	TO: PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY:		
19		oval of this action pursuant to U.S.C. § 1441(a	
20			
21	and 28 U.S.C. § 1446 was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona o		
22	June 1, 2022.		
23	A copy of the Notice of Removal is attach	ned to this Notice and is hereby served and filed	
24	in the above-captioned action in accordance with	n 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).	
25			
26			
27			
28			

Case 3:22-cv-08099-JJT Document 2 Filed 06/01/22 Page 56 of 56

1	DATED: June 1, 2022.	
2		O'CONNOR & DYET, P.C.
3		
4		By <u>/s/ Shane P. Dyet</u> Shane P. Dyet
5 6		Robert R. Byrne Attorneys for Defendants Simaran
7		Express, Inc. and Jarmanjeet Singh
8	E-FILED with the Court and	
9	copy emailed on June 1, 2022	
10	to:	
11	R. Kevin O'Brien Keist Thurston O'Brien, PC	
12	10150 W. Desert River Blvd., Suite A-130	
13	Glendale, AZ 85305 <u>kevin@ktolawfirm.com</u>	
14	Attorney for Plaintiff	
15	Justin Zachary	
16	Denton & Zachary, PLLC 2100 Riverdale Road, Suite 200A	
17	Little Rock, AR 72002	
18	justin@dentonandzachary.com Attorney for Plaintiff	
19	Joann A. Hoskins, Esq.	
20	Law Offices of Christine G. Moore	
21	150 N. Stephanie Street, Ste. 150 Henderson, NV 89074	
22	joann hoskins@progressive.com Attorney for Defendant Lilliana Tudela	
23	According for Defendant Emilana Tudela	
24	By /s/ D. Zborower	
25		
26		
27		
28		